

United States Patent and Trademark Office

17

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,241 02/20/2002		Holly Hogrefe	25436/2155	7186	
27495 PALMER & D	7590 04/05/2007 DDGE, LLP		EXAMINER		
KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS / STR			HUTSON, RICHARD G		
BOSTON, MA	GTON AVENUE A 02199		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001011,111			1652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/079,241	HOGREFE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Richard G. Hutson	1652

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Richard G. Hutson	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE	E REPLY FILED 05 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🗌	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. 🗵	The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 March 2007</u> . A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replantation.	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will not be entered be	ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •						
	(c) ∐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
, <u> </u>	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1			· (DTOL 004)				
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	<u> </u>	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7 M	non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ll be entered and as a					
<i>г</i> . Д	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	i de entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:	·						
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 64-94.		•					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24-27, 31-63</u> .							
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
B. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· , ,	•				
11. 🏻	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	(1111	1-1-1				
13. L	Other:		The this	10				
			Biobard C Hutan					
		•	Richard G Hutson, Primary Examiner	rn.D.				
			Art Unit: 1652					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/079,241

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment of the claims would require further consideration and/or search. For instance applicants proposed amendment of claim 64, changing the characteristics of the enzyme mixture from a "polymerization activity" to a '5'-3' polymerization activity" and from a "mutant Archael DNA polymerase" to a "DNA polymease" would require further consideration and or search. Further the recitation of specific amino acid sequences into the claims (claims 64, 85 and 86) without the proper status identifiers would raise new sequence compliance issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record remian in light of the nonentry of applicants proposed amendment.